

|   |                           |                     |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>    | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 10/688,335                | BOOE, JAMES M.      |
|   | Examiner<br>Richard Edgar | Art Unit<br>3745    |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Richard Edgar.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Dilip A. Kulkarni.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2005

**Time:** 11:30 AM, EST

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

16-18

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 17 depended from itself. The dependency of claim 17 and 18 has been changed according to the attached Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)